consideration. The consequences of his action can come only at this stage. And it has come at the earliest stage possible. I therefore think that it is in order.

Mr. President : When a Bill is introduced whether the author of it is a private member or a Government member, the rights of the mover of the Bill are governed by the Rules and the Standing Orders. From what Mr. T. K. Nayar has stated, it is clear that he does not question the right of the Revenue Member in adopting any course that he likes or choosing any time that he likes. He also made it clear that it is not his intention in this motion to censure the Government for not moving a particular motion yesterday. His point is this. The consequences of the Revenue Member not making his motion yesterday considered along with the nature of the Bill in question, have created certain difficulties. And it is therefore for me to consider, when a motion of this kind is moved, to decide whether the matter is of public importance and whether it is of any urgency. Any serious inconvenience of the cultivators is certainly a matter of public importance and from what the hon'ble member has stated, I am convinced that it is certainly an urgent matter. But how far it will be possible for the Government to redress the difficulty pointed out by the hon'ble member is not a matter in which we need go just now. But I quite agree that the action of the Revenue Member in not moving the motion has certainly created a situation of urgency and public importance. On these grounds, the motion is certainly in order and it will be taken up for discussion at half past three to-day. I may make it clear that in discussing this motion at half past three hon'ble members cannot actually go into the merits of the provisions of the Bill. The issue must be confined to the specific question raised by the hon'ble member Mr. T. K. Nayar, *viz.*, the inconvenience caused to tenants as a result of the action of certain *jenmis*, and whether it is possible by any action of the Government to obviate that difficulty. It is open to the hon'ble members—Mr. T. K. Nayar and others—to take part in the discussion and to suggest measures by which this difficulty can be remedied.

The Cochin Insolvency Act (Amendment) Bill*

Mr. M. Sivarama Menon *(Advocate-General):* I beg to move that the Cochin Insolvency Act (Amendment) Bill be taken up and finally passed.

Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar *(Secretary to Government):* I second it.

Motion put and carried

* Vide Appendix I

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly